

- 5) (. ssertorius –) , () , () ;
- 6) « » , , , () ;
- 7) , , (. relefant –) (.-) ;
- 8) , , , ;
- 9) , , () ;
- 10) – « , » ;
- 11) « , » () « , » , , « , » , , « , »

1. [: psylib.org.ua/books/lenin01/]/ – . : - , 1984. –
2. : 55 . / [. . . .] . – : , 2002. – . 366.
3. : / [. . . .] . – : ; , 2002. – . 606.
4. : , , / – : , 2007. – . 143.
5. / [. . . .] . – : , 2002. – . 164.
6. : 55 . / – [5- .]. – . : - , 1969. – . 29 : . – 817 .
7. () : / – : . , 2004. – 534 .
8. – / – : , 1998. – 392 .

Summary

Toftul M. Typical Epistemological and Logical Errors in the Academic Literature on Philosophical Subjects. The theory of knowledge and logic analysis of errors that are common in academic philosophical literature is considered. The definition of "matter", the misunderstanding of matter and the relevant category, kind and category of "good", the real love and the category of "love", real rhetoric and theory of rhetoric, morality and science of morality, etc are analyzed. The author denotes mistakes in solving specific problems of dialectics common logical errors in the determination and division concepts in textbooks. Keywords: definition, teaching philosophy, matter, distribution concepts. rules.